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#### Abstract

The click-chemistry-derived formyl indolyl methyl triazole (FIMT) resin 1a was evaluated for the parallel solid-phase synthesis of a series of BP-897-type arylcarboxamides. By application of a five-step sequence (including loading by reductive amination, subsequent amide coupling, deprotection, palladium-catalyzed $N$-arylation, and acidic cleavage), a focused library of putative dopamine D3 receptor ligands was constructed. The final products revealed good to excellent purity and were screened for binding at monoaminergic G-protein-coupled receptors when selected library members proved to show excellent binding affinity, especially toward the dopamine D3 receptor subtype.


## Introduction

Aryl carboxamides frequently serve as key pharmacophoric elements in drugs. There are numerous wellestablished protocols for the synthesis of this functional group in solution; however, in terms of rationalization and automation in the drug design process, solid-phase organic syntheses (SPOS)-based procedures are often benefiting. Thus, different concepts of efficient solid-phase organic (SPO) amide synthesis were established recently, including the capture and release ${ }^{1}$ and the backbone amide linker (BAL) strategy. ${ }^{2}$ The latter utilizes the carboxamide functionality as the point of attachment to the resin linker. In detail, a building block with a primary amino function is immobilized by reductive amination of a resin functionalized with an electron-rich arylcarbaldehyde. After $N$-acylation, the resulting carboxamide can be readily liberated under acidic conditions. As an arylcarbaldehyde moiety, various alkoxy-substituted benzaldehydes and 3 -formylindole were established. ${ }^{3}$ Barany and co-workers ${ }^{4}$ applied the BAL concept for the preparation of C-terminally modified and cyclic peptides, as well as nonpeptidic compounds. During the last years, the versatility of BAL linkage has been largely proved, especially in solidphase peptide syntheses (SPPS). ${ }^{5}$
Taking advantage of the click chemistry strategy, we elaborated on several functionalized resins, including the polystyrene-based formyl indolyl methyl triazole (FIMT) derivative $\mathbf{1 a},{ }^{6}$ when the 1,3 -dipolar cycloaddition of alkynes and azides-recently designated as a click reaction ${ }^{7}$ - proved to be an efficient and high-yielding process for the immobilization of the functional linker unit.

In conjunction with a program to design superpotent dopamine D3 receptor partial agonists and antagonists, ${ }^{8}$ we envisaged to use our BAL resin 1a for the parallel synthesis of a series of $N$-arylpiperazinoalkyl-substituted arylcarbox-

[^0]amides when we chose the CNS active drug candidate BP 897 (Scheme 1), which is known for its benefiting effects on cocaine-seeking behavior, ${ }^{9}$ as a lead compound.
Our plan of synthesis involved structural variations of the nature of both aromatic moieties and the length of the chain connecting the aryl carboxamide and the basic amino function in the central part of the molecular scaffold. Thus, we established a three-dimensional SPOS protocol, starting with the attachment of $N$-protected aminoalkylpiperazines by reductive amination. After coupling with activated arylcarboxylic acid derivatives and $N$-deprotection, a further diversification was planned, exploiting the BuchwaldHartwig $N$-arylation methodology. Although the palladiummediated SPO synthesis of arylamines is well-established, ${ }^{10}$ only few examples that involve polymer-bound amines are described. ${ }^{11}$

## Results and Discussion

Linker Selection. To ascertain the value of our BAL handle 1a to execute the parallel synthesis in the most efficient way, in regard to the purities and yields of the desired products and to investigate whether the attachment of the first building block by reductive amination is superior to a simple $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement, we performed comparative model reactions with the 3-formylindolylmethyl-substituted polystyrene (1b), ${ }^{12}$ the well-established Rink chloride (1c), and Wang bromide (1d) resins, respectively (Scheme 2). In detail, immobilization of $N$-aminopropyl- $N^{\prime}$-(2-methoxy-phenyl)-piperazine was done by nucleophilic substitution (for 1c and 1d) or reductively when $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ was used (for 1b). Substitution of 1c with the primary amine was accomplished by following the procedure described by Garigipati, ${ }^{13}$ whereas the use of a Hünig base turned out to be dispensable, because of the presence of 4 equiv of tertiary amine within the nucleophile. Subsequent acylation with pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid activated by HOAt/ DIC and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)-induced cleavage (2\% for $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $95 \%$ for $\mathbf{1 d}$ ) in dichloromethane (DCM)

Scheme 1. Plan of Synthesis for a Solid-Phase-Supported Approach to Dopamine D3 Receptor Ligands


Test compound library
(for $\mathrm{Ar}=2$-naphthyl, $\mathrm{R}=2$-methoxyphenyl, $\mathrm{n}=3$ : BP 897)

Scheme 2. Test Reactions for Linker Selection

${ }^{a} \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{RT}, 24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ For 1c: DCM, RT, 24 h ; for 1d: DMF, RT, 24 h. ${ }^{c}$ HOAt, DIC, DCM-DMF. ${ }^{d}$ For 1a and 1b: $2 \%$ TFA in DCM, RT, 4 h ; for 1c: $2 \%$ TFA in DCM, RT, 2 h ; for 1d: $95 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, RT, 24 h .
resulted in the formation of the arylcarboxamide 2a. Liquid chromatography/mass spectroscopy (LC/MS) analysis, using 2a prepared in solution-phase synthesis ${ }^{8}$ as a reference, clearly displayed the superiority of the carbaldehyde-based BAL strategy (Figure 1). In our system, pure 2a showed a retention time of 17.2 min , when the APCI-MS detection attributed an $\mathrm{M}+1$ peak of 394.1 . The synthesis supported by the Rink resin $\mathbf{1 c}$ provided the product $\mathbf{2 a}$ only as a minor component. The main product had an $\mathrm{M}+1$ peak of 346.1 that was assignable to $N$-\{4-[4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-piperazin1 -yl]-propyl\}-2,2,2-trifluor-acetamide, expressing an incomplete $N$-acylation, which was obviously due to steric hindrance at the bulky benzhydrylamine when being reacted with the HOAt/DIC-activated aromatic carboxylate. The Wang resin (1d)-derived cleavage mixture revealed a higher portion of $\mathbf{2 a}$, even though contaminated with side products. On the other hand, 3-formylindolylmethyl-substituted polystyrene (1b) provided only one product being identical with the standard by means of retention time and mass spectra, indicating the superior properties of the formylindole-type resins.

To investigate the reliability of the method when attaching various scaffolds, we compared 1b to our novel click-chemistry-derived linker 1a. Thus, we elaborated the syn-
thesis of a model library of the eight arylcarboxamides $\mathbf{2 b} \mathbf{- i}$ when both resins were subjected to reductive amination using propylamine, cyclohexylamine, benzylamine, and 1-ben-zylpiperidin-4-ylamine and subsequent acylation using naph-thalene-2-carboxylic acid and pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-2carboxylic acid as potentially pharmacophoric scaffolds. After cleavage by $2 \%$ TFA in DCM, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis showed that both resins generated the desired products in good to excellent purities (Table 1). Interestingly, the aminopiperidine-derived products $\mathbf{2 h}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$ revealed significantly higher purities when being synthesized on an FIMT support. The click linker 1a revealed the best overall performance, with regard to efficiency and robustness, thus, corroborating our plan of synthesis.

Library Generation. Based on our experiences gained with the model library, we established a parallel synthesis approach that enabled us to generate a series of putatively bioactive BP 897 analogues with three points of diversity and purities that were sufficient for the direct submission to the biological analyses without further purification (Scheme 3). Because we planned to extend the chemical description space of the library members by varying the arene substituent on the piperazine moiety, it was necessary to use an N -protecting group to avoid regioselectivity problems during the reductive alkylation of the amine moiety. Because tertbutyloxycarbonyl (BOC) piperazine is known as a commercially available, low-cost building block, we chose tertbutyl carbamate protection, being aware that the combination with the acid-labile BAL resin would not allow the common HCl- or TFA-mediated BOC cleavage. In fact, successful immobilization of $N$-aminobutyl- $N^{\prime}$-tert-butyloxycarbonyl piperazine (scaffold A1) and its aminopentyl homologue A2 in the presence of $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ was monitored by infrared (IR) spectroscopy when we observed the substitution of the carbaldehyde-derived $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ band at $1655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by a strong $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ absorption that was caused by the carbamate functionality at $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. After HOAt/DIC-promoted amide coupling with the building blocks $\mathbf{B}\{1-7\}$, selective removal of the BOC protecting group was investigated when we tried to take advantage of Burgess' methodology, using trimethylsilyl triflate in the presence of 2,6-lutidine. ${ }^{14}$ In fact, we observed complete $N$-deprotection after 30 min at room temperature (RT), giving access to the secondary amine function that was envisioned to be arylated in the following step. Evaluation of a series of cross-coupling conditions for a palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation, according to
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Figure 1. LC/MS analyses. Total ion current (TIC) chromatogram of crude $N$-\{4-[4-(2-methoxyphenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl\}-pyrazolo-[1,5-a] pyridine-3-carboxamide 2a obtained from resins $\mathbf{1 b}-\mathbf{d}$.

Table 1. Purities and Yields of the Model Library $\mathbf{2 b}$ - $\mathbf{i}$ Supported by Resins 1a and 1b

|  | compound | 1a |  | 1b |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | purity (\%) | yield <br> (\%) | purity (\%) | yield <br> (\%) |
| $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=2$-naphthyl |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ propyl | 2b | 97 | 40 | 92 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ cyclohexyl | 2d | 89 | 30 | 87 | 36 |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ benzyl | 2 f | 98 | 44 | 100 | 43 |
| $\mathrm{R}=N$-benzylpiperidin-4-yl | 2h | 92 | 50 | 58 | 15 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=2-$ pyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyridyl |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ propyl | 2 c | 96 | 26 | 94 | 27 |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ cyclohexyl | 2 e | 85 | 39 | 96 | 38 |
| $\mathrm{R}=$ benzyl | 2 g | 99 | 36 | 93 | 30 |
| $\mathrm{R}=N$-benzylpiperidin-4-yl | 2 i | 91 | 27 | 60 | 15 |

Buchwald and Hartwig's methodology, led to a catalyst system that was composed of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ and BINAP. ${ }^{10}$ Using $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ as a base and toluene as a solvent, excellent purities were observed for the coupling of the bromoarene derivatives $\mathbf{C}\{1-3\}$. Unfortunately, the yields were quite low, because of the necessity to transfer the reactions from polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) vessels to glass reactors and vice versa. Finally, smooth cleavage by TFA (2\%) in DCM afforded the desired test compounds $6-47$ in acceptable yields (Table 2 ). Note that the target compounds revealed an average purity
of $>85 \%$ when only two library members displayed purities of $<70 \%$ ( $64 \%$ for 13, $69 \%$ for 22, and $66 \%$ for 25). According to the LC/MS data, the existence of side products was usually due to an incomplete Buchwald coupling.

Screening. The biological screening of compounds 6-47 was done without further purification in concentrations of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{nM}$, and 1 nM when dissolved in the respective assay buffer. Table 3 shows the binding affinities of 6-47 toward the dopamine receptors $\mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{D} 2_{\text {long }}, \mathrm{D} 2_{\text {short }}, \mathrm{D} 3$, and D4 and the adrenergic $\alpha_{1}$ subtype at concentrations of 100 nM . None of them was able to substantially displace the radioligands from the $\mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{D} 2_{\text {long }}, \mathrm{D} 2_{\text {short }}$, and D 4 receptors. ${ }^{15}$ Nevertheless, the screening with the D3 subtype resulted in many hits. The most promising displacement properties $(>85 \%)$ were observed for $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{A} 1, \mathrm{~B} 1, \mathrm{C} 1\}, \mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{A} 1, \mathrm{~B} 2, \mathrm{C} 1\}$, $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{A} 1, \mathrm{~B} 3, \mathrm{C} 1\}, \mathbf{2 1}\{\mathrm{A} 1, \mathrm{~B} 6, \mathrm{C} 1\}$, and $\mathbf{2 4}\{\mathrm{A} 1, \mathrm{~B} 7, \mathrm{C} 1\}$. Library member 26 displayed high selectivity but was not investigated further, because the D3 ligand displacement was $<85 \%$. After purification by column chromatography, determination of the Ki values of the selected hits proved $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{9}$, 12, 21, and 24 as high-affinity binders at the D3 subtype, when the biphenyl carboxamide 24 turned out to be extraordinarily potent, revealing a Ki value of 0.28 nM and the best selectivity over the $\alpha_{1}$ receptor within this subset

Scheme 3. Solid-Phase-Supported Synthesis of the Test Compounds 6-47





${ }^{a} \mathrm{~A}\{1-2\}, \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{RT}, 21 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b} \mathrm{~B}\{1-7\}$, HOAt, DIC, DCM/DMF, RT, $48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{c}{ }^{c}(\mathrm{i})$ TMSOTf, 2,6 -lutidine, DCM, $\mathrm{RT}, 2 \times 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (ii) $\mathrm{C}\{1-3\}$, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, BINAP, NaOtBu, toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{d}_{2} \% \mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{RT}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.
(Table 4). Thus, combination of the biphenyl moiety with the 2-chloropiperazine substructure and a chain length of four led to a D3 receptor ligand (24) that was superior to the naphthalene-derived lead BP $897(\mathrm{Ki}=1.4 \mathrm{nM})$.

## Summary

Utilizing the FIMT resin 1a, which is readily available via a click-chemistry-based immobilization, a BAL strategy has been developed for the parallel synthesis of dopaminergic aryl carboxamides. A library of 42 test compounds, revealing three points of diversity, was generated by a five-step SPOS approach, including intermediate BOC deprotection and palladium-mediated N -arylation of polymer-bound amines. Receptor binding studies indicated excellent D3 receptor affinity for five library members when the biphenyl carboxamide 24 revealed a Ki value of 0.28 nM substantially exceeding the binding properties of the drug candidate BP 897.

## Experimental Section

General. Polystyrene resins were purchased from Novabiochem. Absolute solvents (over molecular sieves) and starting materials obtained from commercial source were used without further purification. Solid-phase syntheses were performed manually in a Heidolph Instruments Synthesis 1
and an AdvancedChemtech PLS synthesizer equipped with PFA or PTFA reaction vessels, respectively. Reactions and resin washes were conducted at ambient temperature, unless otherwise stated. Analytical HPLC was performed using a Nucleosil RP18 column ( 4.6 mm ID $\times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / 0.1 \mathrm{~N}$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCOOH}(1 / 1)$ at a flow rate of 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and in combination with UV detection at 254 nm . Mass spectra were recorded on a FINNIGAN MAT TSQ 70 spectrometer. LC/MS analyses were conducted using an Agilent binary gradient system in combination with ChemStation software (MeOH/0.1 N aqueous HCOOH 50/5090/10) and ultraviolet (UV) detection at 254 nm . At this point, a Zorbax SB-C8 ( 4.6 mm ID $\times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) column was used, with a flow rate of 0.5 or $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The mass detection was noted with a Bruker Esquire 2000 ion-trap mass spectrometer using an APCI ionization source. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 360 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 90 MHz ) spectra were recorded in solution using a Bruker AM 360 instrument. IR spectra were registered on a Jasco model FT/IR 410 instrument, using a film of substance on a NaCl pill or via a KBr pellet. Melting points were determined on a BÜCHI apparatus. CHN elementary analyses were done at the Department of Organic Chemistry (Friedrich Alexander University). Flash chromatography was performed using Silica Gel $60(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. For thin layer chromatography

Table 2. Composition, Physicochemical Data, Purity, and Crude Yield of the Test Compounds 6-47

| compound | mass | molecular <br> weight, MW | purity <br> a <br> $(\%)$ | crude <br> yield $(\%)$ | $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{6 \{ A 1 , B 1 , C 1 \}}$ | 406.5 | 406.37 | 79 | 14 | 17.6 |
| 7\{A1,B1,C2\} | 402.3 | 401.95 | 90 | 9 | 22.6 |
| 8\{A1,B1,C3\} | 373.2 | 372.91 | 92 | 23 | 5.5 |
| 9\{A1,B2,C1\} | 432.5 | 431.97 | 96 | 30 | 3.6 |
| 10\{A1,B2,C2\} | 428.3 | 427.56 | 73 | 20 | 14.1 |
| 11\{A1,B2,C3\} | 399.2 | 398.52 | 97 | 28 | 3.0 |
| 12\{A1,B3,C1\} | 422.4 | 421.98 | 93 | 20 | 24.7 |
| 13\{A1,B3,C2\} | 418.3 | 417.56 | 64 | 37 | 23.8 |
| 14\{A1,B3,C3\} | 389.2 | 388.52 | 95 | 14 | 20.3 |
| 15\{A1,B4,C1\} | 373.4 | 372.91 | 82 | 15 | 10.2 |
| 16\{A1,B4,C2\} | 369.2 | 368.49 | 92 | 13 | 7.6 |
| 17\{A1,B4,C3\} | 340.2 | 339.45 | 90 | 13 | 2.6 |
| 18\{A1,B5,C1\} | 423.5 | 422.97 | 98 | 21 | 19.2 |
| 19\{A1,B5,C2\} | 419.3 | 418.55 | 76 | 14 | 16.7 |
| 20\{A1,B5,C3\} | 390.4 | 389.51 | 88 | 22 | 3.4 |
| 21\{A1,B6,C1\} | 426.4 | 425.97 | 88 | 35 | 22.4 |
| 22\{A1,B6,C2\} | 422.2 | 421.55 | 69 | 21 | 21.2 |
| 23\{A1,B6,C3\} | 393.3 | 392.52 | 100 | 26 | 3.0 |
| 24\{A1,B7,C1\} | 448.3 | 448.02 | 73 | 34 | 24.6 |
| 25\{A1,B7,C2\} | 444.2 | 443.60 | 66 | 20 | 23.9 |
| 26\{A1,B7,C3\} | 415.2 | 414.56 | 95 | 32 | 20.3 |
| 27\{A2,B1,C1\} | 420.5 | 420.39 | 87 | 24 | 17.2 |
| 28\{A2,B1,C2\} | 416.4 | 415.97 | 90 | 20 | 16.1 |
| 29\{A2,B1,C3\} | 387.3 | 386.93 | 100 | 21 | 10.3 |
| 30\{A2,B2,C1\} | 446.5 | 445.99 | 90 | 32 | 15.1 |
| 31\{A2,B2,C2\} | 442.3 | 441.58 | 78 | 30 | 13.7 |
| 32\{A2,B2,C3\} | 413.3 | 412.54 | 94 | 21 | 8.1 |
| 33\{A2,B3,C1\} | 436.4 | 436.00 | 81 | 35 | 17.5 |
| 34\{A2,B3,C2\} | 432.3 | 431.58 | 90 | 31 | 16.6 |
| 35\{A2,B3,C3\} | 403.2 | 402.54 | 99 | 27 | 12.0 |
| 36\{A2,B4,C1\} | 387.3 | 386.93 | 95 | 18 | 13.9 |
| 37\{A2,B4,C2\} | 383.2 | 382.51 | 79 | 10 | 12.0 |
| 38\{A2,B4,C3\} | 354.3 | 353.47 | 88 | 12 | 3.1 |
| 39\{A2,B5,C1\} | 437.5 | 436.99 | 82 | 15 | 17.2 |
| 40\{A2,B5,C2\} | 433.3 | 432.57 | 79 | 11 | 16.1 |
| 41\{A2,B5,C3\} | 404.2 | 403.53 | 96 | 15 | 11.4 |
| 42\{A2,B6,C1\} | 440.4 | 439.99 | 84 | 28 | 16.2 |
| 43\{A2,B6,C2\} | 436.2 | 435.57 | 85 | 20 | 15.0 |
| 44\{A2,B6,C3\} | 407.2 | 406.54 | 83 | 13 | 9.7 |
| 45\{A2,B7,C1\} | 462.5 | 462.04 | 87 | 28 | 18.5 |
| 46\{A2,B7,C2\} | 458.3 | 457.62 | 78 | 23 | 17.7 |
| 47\{A2,B7,C3\} | 429.3 | 428.58 | 100 | 28 | 13.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Using LC/MS.
(TLC), Merck $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ aluminum plates were used and analyzed by UV light ( 254 nm ) or by iodine vapor.

Biological screening was performed at concentrations of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{nM}$, and 1 nM of the test compounds. Receptor binding data were generated by measuring their ability to compete with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiperone for the cloned human dopamine receptor subtypes $\mathrm{D} 2_{\text {long }}$, D $2_{\text {short }}$, D3, and D4.4 stably expressed in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. D1 and $\alpha_{1}$ receptor affinities were determined utilizing porcine striatal membranes and the D1 selective radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ SCH 23390 and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ prazosine and porcine cortical membranes, respectively. ${ }^{15}$

Abbreviations used in this paper are as follows: abs, absolute; eq, equivalent; h, hours; min, minutes; RT, room temperature; sat, saturated; DCM, dichloromethane; DMF, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide; THF, tetrahydrofuran; HOAt, 1-hy-droxy-7-azabenzotriazole; DIC, $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; TMSOTf, trimethylsilyltriflate; $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, tris(dibenzylidenacetone)-dipalladium(0); and BINAP, racemic $( \pm)-2,2^{\prime}$-bis(diphenylphosphino)- $1,1^{\prime}$ binaphthyl.

Resin 1a. Merrifield resin ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ) was reacted with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 32.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 53 h . After being cooled to RT, sequential filtration and washing with methanol (MeOH) (5 $\times 30 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{DCM}(5 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave azidomethyl polystyrene (IR: $2096 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). N -(2-Propynyl)-indole-3-carbaldehyde ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 32.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{CuI}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol), DIPEA ( 8 mL ), and THF ( 40 mL ) were added into the reactor and agitated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 63 h , the IR signal of the azido group had completely disappeared and the resin was collected by filtration and subsequently washed with pyridine $(5 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and DCM $(5 \times$ 30 mL ). Drying of the residue in a vacuum gave resin 1a, showing an IR signal for the aldehyde $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ at $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Resin 1b. Merrifield resin ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ) was reacted with 3-[1-pyrrolidin-1-yl-methylidene]-3H-indole ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 50 mL ) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The suspension was filtered and the resin was washed with DCM $(5 \times 45$ mL ) and then treated with 0.2 N NaOH solution $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ in DMF ( 35 mL ) at RT for 2 h . After sequential filtration and washing with $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1: 1(2 \times$ $40 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, the residue was dried in a vacuum to give resin 1b, ${ }^{12}$ showing an IR signal for the aldehyde $\mathrm{C}=$ O at $1662 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$N$-\{4-[4-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-propyl\}-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (2a). Immobilization: (a) Resin 1b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ), $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ $(116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a solution of $N$-aminopropyl $-N^{\prime}$ -(2-methoxyphenyl)-piperazine ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were agitated for 24 h . The resin was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{MeOH}: 0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HC}=9 / 1(3$ $\times 5 \mathrm{~mL}), 2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 5$ mL ) and dried by suction. (b) Rink acid resin ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43$ $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCCl}_{3}(168 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7$ mmol ), and THF ( 10 mL ) were shaken for 7 h to obtain Rink chloride 1c. After washing with THF and acetone, the resin was shaken with a solution of $N$-aminopropyl- $N^{\prime}$-(2-methoxyphenyl)-piperazine ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 24 h . After washing with DCM $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the resin was dried by suction. (c) Wang bromide resin $1 \mathbf{d}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2$ $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ) was pre-swollen with DCM ( 7 mL ) for 1 h , filtered, and washed twice with DMF. Subsequently, a solution of $N$-aminopropyl- $N^{\prime}$-(2-methoxyphenyl)-piperazine ( 121 mg , $0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 8 mL ) was added and the reaction was shaken for 24 h . After washing with DMF $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and hexane $(2 \times 5$ mL ), the resin was dried by suction.

1. Acylation of Immobilized Secondary Amines. The reaction vessels containing the previously described resins were filled with pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-2-carboxylic acid (4 equiv), HOAt (4 equiv), DIC (4.5 equiv), and a mixture of DCM:DMF $=9 / 1(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and shaken for 24 h . After sequential filtration and washing with DMF $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the resins were dried by suction.
2. Cleavage. Cleavage was performed using $2 \%$ TFA in DCM ( 8 mL ) at RT for 4 h (resin $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}$ ) or $95 \% \mathrm{TFA}$

Table 3. Binding Affinities of 6-47 toward the Dopamine Receptors D1, D2 long , D $2_{\text {short }}$, D3, D4, and the Adrenergic $\alpha 1$ Subtype at Concentrations of 100 nM


|  | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D2long |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D2short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $100-91 \%$ | $90-81 \%$ | $80-71 \%$ | $70-51 \%$ | $50-26 \%$ | $25-0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Ki Values of the Hits 6, 9, 12, 21, and 24

| compound | purity (\%) | Ki values (nM) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left.{ }^{[3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ SCH 23390 | $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiperone |  |  |  | $\underline{\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \text { prazosine }}$ |
|  |  | pD1 | $\mathrm{hD} 2_{\text {long }}$ | $\mathrm{hD} 2_{\text {short }}$ | hD3 | hD4 | p $\alpha 1$ |
| 6 | >95 | 790 | 470 | 110 | 1.7 | 280 | 9.3 |
| 9 | >95 | 3100 | 280 | 67 | 3.1 | 170 | 3.4 |
| 12 | >95 | 400 | 460 | 94 | 1.0 | 200 | 6.0 |
| 21 | >95 | 240 | 230 | 47 | 3.7 | 36 | 3.3 |
| 24 | >95 | 360 | 130 | 30 | 0.28 | 240 | 11 |
| BP 897 |  | 760 | 210 | 210 | 1.4 | 39 | 5.0 |

in water ( 8 mL ) at RT for 24 h (resin 1d). The cleavage solutions were separately collected and washed alternately with $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$; the solvents were evaporated and the residue was treated with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with DCM to obtain crude 2a, which was analyzed using LC/MS.

Model Library ( $\mathbf{2 b} \mathbf{- i}$ ). To 0.135 mmol of resin (1a or 1b) were added $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv) and a solution of propyl-, cyclohexyl-, benzyl-, or 1-ben-zylpiperidin-4-ylamine (4 equiv) in DCM ( 10 mL ) and the reaction was shaken for 21 h . The resin was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{MeOH}: 0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}=9 / 1$ $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}), 2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ in DCM $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and DCM $(3 \times$ 5 mL ) and dried by suction. The reaction vessel was filled with 2-naphthyl- or 2-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridyl-carboxylic acid (4 equiv), HOAt (4 equiv), DIC (4.5 equiv) and a mixture of DCM:DMF $=9 / 1(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and shaken for 24 h . After sequential filtration and washing with DMF $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the resins were dried by suction. The cleavage was done by $2 \%$ TFA in DCM ( 5 mL ) for 2 h . The solution was collected and washed alternately with $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solvents were evaporated; the residues were treated with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with DCM, and the organic layers were dried (with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and evaporated to
give $\mathbf{2 b} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{i}$ ( $15 \%$ to $50 \%$ ). The products were analyzed by an LC/MS system when UV detection ( 254 nm ) was used to determine the purity.

Test Compound Library (6-47). Resin 1a was loaded into 42 reaction vessels ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which were treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv) and a solution of $\mathbf{A}\{1-2\}$ (4 equiv) in DCM ( 5 mL ) and then agitated for 21 h . The resins were filtered and washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}: 0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}=9 / 1(3 \times 5$ $\mathrm{mL}), 2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ in DCM $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and DCM $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried by suction. After addition of $\mathbf{B}\{1-7\}$ (4 equiv), HOAt (4 equiv), DIC (4.5 equiv), and a mixture of DCM: DMF $=9 / 1(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the vessels were shaken for 48 h . Sequential filtration and washing with DMF $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was followed by treatment with a solution of TMSOTf ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and 2,6lutidine ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) in DCM ( 2 mL ) and agitation for 30 min. After washing twice with DCM $(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$, an additional 2 mL of the TMSOTf/2,6-lutidine solution were added, followed by agitation for 30 min . After sequential filtration and washing with DCM, MeOH, DMF ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5$ $\times 1 \mathrm{~min}$, then $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~min}), 10 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and DCM $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the resins were dried by suction and transferred from PTFE vessels into glass reactors. $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), BINAP ( $9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and $\mathrm{NaO} t \mathrm{Bu}$
( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 20$ equiv) were added and the reactors were three times evacuated, filled with nitrogen, and a solution of $\mathbf{C}$ -$\{1-3\}$ ( 6 equiv) in absolute toluene ( 2 mL ) was added. The reaction was shaken at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 h , then transferred back into the PTFE vessels and washed with $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1 / 1$ $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, DMF $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and DCM $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The cleavage was done by $2 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ in DCM ( 5 mL ) for 2 h . The solution was collected and washed alternately with $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the solvents were evaporated; the residues were treated with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with DCM, and the organic layers were dried (with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and evaporated to give 6-47 ( $9 \%$ to $37 \%$ ). The products were analyzed using an LC/MS system, whereas UV detection ( 254 nm ) was used to determine the purity.
$t$-Butyl 4-(4-amino-butyl)-piperazine-1-carboxylate (A1). To a solution of $N$-BOC-piperazine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $o$-xylene $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of $N$-(4-bromobutyl)-phthalimide $(1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $o$-xylene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After filtration, the liquid was concentrated to obtain an orange thick oil that was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=4 / 6$ ) to yield $t$-butyl 4-[4-(2-phthalimido)-butyl]-piperazine-1carboxylate as a yellow solid ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ): mp $86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; EIMS m/z $387\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1772, 1712, 1695; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-$ $1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 24.1,26.5,28.4,37.8,52.9(2 \mathrm{C}$; isochrones), 57.9, 79.5, 123.1, 132.1, 133.8, 154.7, 168.4; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 65.10; H, 7.54; $\mathrm{N}, 10.84$. Found: C, 65.09; H, 7.44; N, 10.78 .

To a solution of tert-butyl 4-[4-(2-phthalimido)-butyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol (EtOH) $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise a solution of $80 \%$ hydrazine hydrate $(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was refluxed for 7 h and then allowed to cool to RT. The obtained solid was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was subjected to evaporation. The purification was done by flash chromatography (DCM:MeOH: $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}=90 / 8 / 2$ ), obtaining A1 as a colorless oil ( $0.93 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ): EI-MS m/z $257\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3370,1697 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.48-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.40-3.43 (m, 4H).
$t$-Butyl 4-(5-Amino-pentyl)-piperazine-1-carboxylate (A2). To a solution of $N$-BOC-piperazine ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $o$-xylene $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise $N$-(5-bromopentyl)-phthalimide ( $7.96 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a solution in $o$-xylene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 37 h and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After filtration the filtrate was concentrated to yield an orange thick oil, which was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc $=7 / 3$ ) to yield tert-butyl 4-[5-(2-phthalimido)-pentyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylate as a yellow solid ( 7.87 g , 73\%): mp $109^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; EI-MS m/z 401 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$); IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1773, 1714, 1693; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.32-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-$ $2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.7,26.2,28.1,28.5,37.8,53.0(2 \mathrm{C}$; isochrones), 58.4, 79.5, 123.1, 132.1, 133.8, 154.7, 168.4. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 65.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.47$. Found: C, 65.28; H, 7.67; N, 10.35.

To a solution of tert-butyl 4-[5-(2-phthalimido)-pentyl]-piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise a solution of $80 \%$ hydrazine hydrate ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 5 mL ). The solution was heated at reflux temperature for 8 h and then allowed to cool to RT. The obtained solid was removed by filtration and the filtrate evaporated. The purification was accomplished by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{NEt}_{3}=90 / 8 / 2$ ), obtaining A2 as a colorless oil ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ): EI-MS m/z $272\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; IR ( $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3370, 1696; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.40-$ $1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.72$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.8$, 26.7, 28.4, 33.5, 42.0, 53.1 (2 C; isochrones), 58.6, 79.5, 154.8.

7-Methyl-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid (B6). To a mixture of 1-amino-2-methylpyridinium iodide ${ }^{16}$ ( 2 g , $8.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 17.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 15 mL ) was added dropwise propargylic acid ethyl ester $(0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 9.3$ mmol ), and the mixture was stirred at RT for 24 h . The suspension was filtered, followed by evaporation of the solvent. The obtained residue was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the organic layer washed three times with water, dried (with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=9 / 1$ ) to give pure ethyl 7-methyl-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate as a light yellow solid ( $744 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ): mp $103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; EI-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $190\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; IR ( $\left.\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1709,1639 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ (dd, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 17.8,51.1,103.7,113.2,116.6$, 127.4, 139.2, 141.3, 144.2, 164.0.
$\mathrm{NaOH}(16 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ in water) was added to ethyl 7-methyl-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxylate ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred for 1 h under reflux. After cooling to RT, EtOH $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min and allowed to cool to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Concentrated HCl was slowly added to reach pH 3 when the obtained white solid was collected, washed with water, and dried over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. Pure B6 was added to a second crop of product, which was obtained by extraction of the filtrate with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (combined yield: $396 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%): \mathrm{mp} 211^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; EI-MS m/z $176\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; IR ( NaCl , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1661, 1640; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.05$ (br d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.00 (br d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 17.2,103.8,113.3,115.8,127.8,139.0,140.5$, 144.0, 164.1. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 61.36; H, 4.58; N, 15.90. Found C, 61.31; H, 4.60; N, 15.83.
$N$-\{4-[4-(2-Chlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl\}-3,4dimethoxybenzamide 6\{A1,B2,C1\}. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta$ $1.65-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.49 (br s, 1H), 6.84 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (ddd, $J=7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.20(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42 (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$N$-\{4-[4-(2-Chlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl\}-naph-thalene-2-carboxamide $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathbf{A 1}, \mathrm{B} 3, \mathrm{C} 1\} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.68-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.89 (br s, 1H), 6.94 (ddd, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (ddd, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (dd, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-$ 7.92 (m, 4H), 8.27 (br s, 1H).
$N$-\{4-[4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl\}-py-ridine-3-carboxamide $16\{\mathrm{~A} 1, \mathrm{~B} 4, \mathrm{C} 2\} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 1.73-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.43-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68-8.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.99-$ 9.02 (br s, 1H).
$N$-\{4-[4-(2-chlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl\}-7-methyl-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-3-carboxamide $21\{\mathrm{~A} 1, \mathrm{~B} 6, \mathrm{C} 1\} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.66-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95$ (ddd, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$ (dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (ddd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (s, 1 H ), 8.22 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
$N$-\{4-[4-(2-chlorophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-butyl\}-biphen-yl-4-carboxamide $24\{\mathrm{~A} 1, \mathrm{~B} 7, \mathrm{C} 1\} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta$ $1.66-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (br s, 1H), 6.926.98 (m, 2H), 7.13-7.18 (m, 1H), 7.34 (dd, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-$ $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$.
$N$-\{5-[4-pyridin-3-yl-piperazin-1-yl]-pentyl\}-4-chlorobenzamide $29\{\mathbf{A} 2, \mathrm{~B} 1, \mathbf{C} 3\} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.40-1.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15$ (br s, 1H), $7.15-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.09-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$N$-\{5-[4-pyridin-3-yl-piperazin-1-yl]-pentyl\}-naphthalene-2-carboxamide 35\{A2,B3,C3\}. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.43-$ $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-$ $2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.39$ (br s, 1H), 7.14-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.81$7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.08-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27$ (br s, 1H), 8.29 (br s, 1 H ).
$N$-\{5-[4-(2-chloro-phenyl)-piperazin-1-yl]-pentyl\}-pyri-dine-3-carboxamide 36\{A2,B4,C1\}. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $1.43-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (ddd, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (dd, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (ddd, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12$ (br d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72$ (br d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.97 (br s, 1H).
$N$-\{5-[4-pyridin-3-yl-piperazin-1-yl]-pentyl\}-biphenyl-4-carboxamide $47\{\mathbf{A 2}, \mathrm{~B} 7, \mathrm{C} 3\} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.41-$ $1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-$ $2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27$ (br s, 1H), 7.14-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.36-7.41 (m, 1H), 7.44$7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.84 (br d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.09 (dd, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31$ (br s, 1H).
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